SINGLE BENCH

3080/05
C.D. Sharma
Presented on 28/9/05
dated

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5316 /2005

PETITIONER

Satyaraj Jogi, aged about 66 years, son of Late Shri Kashiprasad Jogi, resident of Jarhabhata, Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

The Municipal Corporation Bilaspur, through - the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, ETC. AND FOR OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

(32)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP No. 5316/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9.11.2005 (Hearing) Shri M.D. ~~Dhote~~, Advocate for the petitioner. Smt. Hamida Siddique, Advocate for the respondents. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's land has been used by the respondent-Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur for the purpose of construction of road without grant of any compensation. He further submitted that the petitioner moved a representation before the respondent-Corporation for grant of compensation but the respondent-Corporation is not taking any decision on the same. Therefore, the respondent-Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur be directed to decide the representation of petitioner in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the respondent has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable, therefore, the respondent-Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner after considering all the points raised by him in accordance with law within a period of three months from today. In case, the representation made by the petitioner is not available in the records of the respondent	

33

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	then the petitioner is allowed to make a fresh representation within a period of 15 days and thereafter respondent shall decide the representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the fresh representation. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.4277/05 & I.A. No. 9159/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Rashmi